

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

TONI M. GOODALL, as Guardian ad Litem
for D.D.B.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

Defendant.

Case No.2:14-cv-01263-JAD-PAL

SCHEDULING ORDER

This case involves judicial review of administrative action by the Commissioner of Social Security, denying Plaintiff's claim for Social Security benefits under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. Plaintiff filed an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (Dkt. #1) and a Motion to Appoint Guardian ad Litem ("GAL") on July 31, 2014, and August 4, 2014, respectively. The court appointed Ms. Goodall as D.D.B.'s GAL and screened the Complaint (Dkt. #4) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The Commissioner filed an Answer (Dkt. #13) on January 9, 2015, along with a certified copy of the administrative record. No additional motions or pleadings have been filed.

The court recognizes that many of these cases have a number of factors in common:

1. Such cases rarely, if ever, require any proceedings in the nature of a trial. Instead, these cases are usually resolved by cross-motions to reverse or remand and to affirm the Commissioner's decision.
2. Sometimes the plaintiff submits new medical reports to the court in support of a request for remand at such a late date in the proceedings as to cause an unnecessary and undesirable delay in the rendering of a decision by the court.

1 3. The transcript of the evidence adduced at the administrative hearing frequently
2 contains the words “inaudible” or “illegible” in some places, and the administrative record
3 sometimes contains documents which are illegible. These parts of the administrative record may
4 or may not relate to the question of whether the Commissioner’s decision is supported by
5 substantial evidence.

6 **THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:**

7 1. Defendant shall file an electronic courtesy copy of the administrative record,
8 under seal, in CM/ECF no later than **February 23, 2015**. The courtesy copy shall be filed in a
9 searchable PDF format with each exhibit linked separately and Optical Character Recognition
10 performed.

11 2. In the event Plaintiff intends to request a remand of this case on the basis of new
12 medical evidence, Plaintiff shall file a motion to remand in this court based on new medical
13 evidence no later than **March 9, 2015**, with a copy of the evidence attached to the motion, and
14 shall serve a copy of the motion and medical evidence on the United States Attorney for the
15 District of Nevada, 333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 5000, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89101.

16 3. In the event Plaintiff serves a motion for remand on the basis of new medical
17 evidence on Defendant, Defendant shall have until **April 13, 2015**, to file either a notice of
18 voluntary remand of the case or points and authorities in opposition to Plaintiff’s motion.
19 Plaintiff may file a reply to the Defendant’s opposition no later than **April 23, 2015**.

20 4. If Plaintiff seeks remand for consideration of new medical evidence, the motion
21 shall include a statement of reasons why the new evidence was not incorporated into the record
22 at an earlier stage. Under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), remand for consideration of new evidence will not
23 be granted unless the evidence is new and material, and there is a showing of good cause for
24 failure to incorporate the evidence into the record at an earlier stage.

25 5. In the event Plaintiff does not file a motion to remand on the basis of new medical
26 evidence, Plaintiff shall file a motion for reversal and/or remand no later than **March 9, 2015**.

27 6. Whenever Plaintiff files a motion for reversal and/or remand, which includes
28 issues based on the administrative record, Plaintiff’s motion shall include:

1 (a) A specification of each and every condition or ailment, or combination
2 thereof, that allegedly renders Plaintiff disabled and is allegedly supported by evidence in
3 the administrative record.

4 (b) A complete summary of all medical evidence in the record that supports
5 Plaintiff's claim of disability due to each condition or ailment specified in subparagraph
6 5(a) above, with precise references to the applicable portions of the record. This
7 summary shall not include medical evidence unrelated to the conditions or ailments upon
8 which Plaintiff's claim(s) of disability are based. It shall be sufficient compliance with
9 this subparagraph if Plaintiff stipulates that the Administrative Law Judge fairly and
10 accurately summarized the medical evidence in the administrative record.

11 (c) A complete summary of all other evidence adduced at the administrative
12 hearing that supports Plaintiff's claim of disability due to each condition or ailment
13 specified in subparagraph 5(a) above, with precise references to the applicable portions of
14 the record. It shall be sufficient compliance with this subparagraph if Plaintiff stipulates
15 that the Administrative Law Judge fairly and accurately summarized the medical
16 evidence in the administrative record.

17 (d) With respect to each condition or ailment specified in subparagraph 5(a)
18 above, a complete but concise statement as to why the record does not contain substantial
19 evidence to support Defendant's conclusion that Plaintiff is not disabled by each such
20 condition or ailment, or combination thereof.

21 7. If Defendant has not filed a notice of voluntary remand, and the issues in question
22 relate to the administrative record, Defendant shall file a cross-motion to affirm no later than
23 **April 13, 2015**, which will be considered an opposition to Plaintiff's motion. This motion shall
24 include:

25 (a) With respect to each disabling condition or ailment specified by Plaintiff,
26 a complete summary of all medical evidence in the record that Defendant contends
27 constitutes substantial evidence to support the administrative determination that Plaintiff
28 is not disabled due to such condition, ailment, or combination thereof. This summary

1 shall not include medical evidence upon which Plaintiff's claim(s) of disability are based.
2 It shall be sufficient compliance with this subparagraph if Defendant stipulates that the
3 Administrative Law Judge fairly and accurately summarized the medical evidence
4 contained in the record.

5 (b) With respect to each disabling condition or ailment specified by Plaintiff,
6 a complete summary of all testimony adduced at the administrative hearing, including the
7 Administrative Law Judge's findings, if any, concerning the credibility of witnesses,
8 which Defendant contends constitutes substantial evidence to support the administrative
9 determination that Plaintiff is not disabled due to such condition or ailment, or
10 combination thereof. It shall be sufficient compliance with this subparagraph if
11 Defendant stipulates that the Administrative Law Judge fairly and accurately summarized
12 the testimony adduced at the administrative hearing.

13 (c) A statement as to whether there are any inaccuracies in the summaries
14 filed by Plaintiff in response to paragraphs 5(b) and 5(c) of this Order. If Defendant
15 believes Plaintiff's summaries are inaccurate, Defendant shall set forth what additions or
16 correction are required (with appropriate references to the record) in order to make the
17 summaries accurate.

18 (d) The lay definitions of all medical terms contained in the record necessary
19 to be understood in order to determine whether Defendant's decision is supported by
20 substantial evidence.

21 8. The motions filed by Plaintiff and Defendant pursuant to paragraphs 5 and 6 of
22 this Order, respectively, shall also contain appropriate points and authorities dealing with the
23 specific legal issues involved in this case, rather than principles of law applicable to Social
24 Security cases in general.

25 9. Plaintiff shall be deemed to have acceded to the accuracy of the summaries
26 supplied by Defendant in response to subparagraphs 6(a) and 6(b) of this Order, unless within
27 twenty days after being served with Defendant's cross-motion to affirm, Plaintiff files and serves
28 a document setting forth:

1 (a) In what manner the summaries are inaccurate;

2 (b) What additions or corrections are required (with appropriate references to
3 the record) in order to make the summaries accurate; and/or

4 (c) Any definitions of the medical terms that Plaintiff contends are more
5 accurate than the definitions supplied by Defendant.

6 10. The motions filed by both Plaintiff and Defendant shall also contain the
7 following:

8 (a) A statement as to whether the transcript of the administrative hearing can
9 be adequately understood despite the fact that it might contain the words “inaudible” or
10 “unintelligible” in one or more places, and specifying each page, if any, in which
11 testimony relating to the particular issues of this case cannot be adequately understood.

12 (b) A specification of each page in the administrative record that is partially or
13 totally illegible, and a statement whether each such illegible page contains information relevant
14 to an understanding of any issue presented in this case.

15 11. Oral argument shall be deemed waived, and the case shall stand submitted unless
16 argument is ordered by the court or requested, pursuant to Local Rule 78-2, by one of the parties
17 no later than **May 4, 2015**. Even if one or both of the parties requests oral argument, the final
18 decision as to whether oral argument is warranted remains with the court.

19 12. Failure of a party to file a motion or points and authorities required by this Order
20 may result in dismissal of the action or reversal of the decision of the Commissioner of Social
21 Security as may be appropriate.

22 Dated this 9th day of February, 2015.

23
24 
25 PEGGY A. LEEN
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27
28